

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized in SpringerLink

Book Title	Municipal Solid Waste Management in Asia and the Pacific Islands	
Series Title	7487	
Chapter Title	Solid Waste Management in Pacific Island Countries and Territories	
Copyright Year	2014	
Copyright HolderName	Springer-Verlag Singapore	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Richards
	Particle	
	Given Name	Esther
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)
	Address	240, Apia, Samoa
	Email	sprep@sprep.org
Author	Family Name	Haynes
	Particle	
	Given Name	David
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)
	Address	240, Apia, Samoa
	Email	
Abstract	<p>The Pacific islands region is as large as it is diverse. Its 22 countries and territories with an estimated combined population of over 8 million extend over an area of 30 million square kilometers—almost a sixth of the earth’s surface and three times larger than either the USA or China. Only two percent of this area consists of land mass in the form of about 7,500 islands and coral atolls, around 500 of which are inhabited. The geography of these varies greatly, and can range from large volcanic landforms with steep and mountainous terrain to tiny, low-lying, coral-based atolls (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010).</p>	



Solid Waste Management in Pacific Island Countries and Territories

Esther Richards and David Haynes

1 Introduction

The Pacific islands region is as large as it is diverse. Its 22 countries and territories with an estimated combined population of over 8 million extend over an area of 30 million km²—almost a sixth of the earth’s surface and three times larger than either the USA or China. Only two percent of this area consists of land mass in the form of about 7,500 islands and coral atolls, around 500 of which are inhabited. The geography of these varies greatly, and can range from large volcanic landforms with steep and mountainous terrain to tiny, low-lying, coral-based atolls (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010).

The Pacific island countries and territories (PICTs) are generally classified into three sub-regions, namely, Melanesia, Polynesia, and Micronesia, based on their ethnic, linguistic and cultural differences. Across these three sub-regions, the land masses, populations, economic prospects, natural resources, and political systems can vary widely. A few general characteristics of PICTs are presented in Table 1.

Poor municipal solid waste management is a major threat to sustainable development in the PICTs, with potentially negative consequences on public health, environmental quality, water resources, fisheries, agriculture, tourism, trade, and other areas of national development (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010).

The threats arising from poor solid waste management are made worse due to:

- Increasing rates of waste generation caused by economic and population growth;
- The limited availability of suitable land for landfills on small islands and atolls, exacerbated by customary land tenures, and “not-in-my-backyard” attitudes;
- The remoteness of many PICTs resulting in high capital and operating costs;

E. Richards (✉) · D. Haynes
Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP),
240, Apia, Samoa
e-mail: sprep@sprep.org

Table 1 Population and size of pacific Islands

Country or territory ^a	Exclusive economic zone (km ²) ^b	Land area (km ²) ^c	population ^c	Density (people/km ²) ^c	2010 population growth rate (%) ^c
MELANESIA					
Fiji	1,260,000	18,273	847,793	46	0.5
New Caledonia (French Territory)	1,740,000	18,576	254,525	14	1.5
Papua New Guinea (PNG)	3,120,000	462,840	6,744,955	15	2.1
Solomon Islands	1,340,000	30,407	549,574	18	2.7
Vanuatu	710,000	12,281	245,036	20	2.5
MICRONESIA					
Federated States of Micronesia (FSM)	2,978,000	701	111,364	159	0.4
Guam (USA Territory)	218,000	541	187,140	346	2.7
Kiribati	3,600,000	811	100,835	124	1.8
Marshall Islands (RMI)	2,131,000	181	54,439	301	0.7
Nauru	320,000	21	9,976	475	2.1
Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) (USA Territory)	–	457	63,072	138	–0.1
Republic of Palau	629,000	444	20,518	46	0.6
POLYNESIA					
American Samoa (USA Territory)	390,000	199	65,896	331	1.2
Cook Islands	1,800,000	237	15,708	66	0.5
French Polynesia (French Territory)	5,030,000	3,521	268,767	76	1.2
Niue	390,000	259	1,479	6	–2.3
Samoa	120,000	2,785	183,123	66	0.3
Tokelau (New Zealand Territory)	290,000	12	1,165	97	–0.2
Tonga	700,000	650	103,365	159	0.3
Tuvalu	1,300,000	26	11,149	429	0.5
Wallis and Futuna (French Territory)	–	142	13,256	93	–0.6
Totals	28,066,000	553,364	9,853,135	–	–

^a This list excludes the Pitcairn Islands, which is a territory of the United Kingdom

^b *Source* Data of member countries, Secretariat of the Pacific Community Applied Geoscience and Technology Division, 2013

^c *Source* 2010 Pocket Statistical Summary, Secretariat of the Pacific Community, 2010

- 28 • The small and sometimes sparse populations which limit any potential economies of scale; and
- 29
- 30 • The limited institutional and human resources capacity, and the fact that solid
- 31 waste financing has not kept pace with growth in waste quantities.

33 ***1.1 Roles and Responsibilities***

34 At the regional level, the Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Pro-
35 gramme (SPREP) is an inter-governmental organization that provides technical
36 assistance to 21 PICTs in several priority environmental areas, including waste
37 management and pollution control (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environ-
38 ment Programme 2011).

39 At the country and territory level, roles for solid waste management are
40 sometimes divested to several agencies, or in some cases, one entity bears all the
41 responsibility (see Table 2).

42 ***1.2 Regional Challenges and Priorities***

43 While municipal solid waste management is one of the priority management issues
44 for the Pacific region (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme
45 2011), it cannot be considered in isolation, given the limited human, financial and
46 institutional resources available to many PICTs. Furthermore there are potential
47 synergies to concurrently address multiple waste management issues. It is there-
48 fore worth articulating the other (mainly hazardous) waste management priorities,
49 which have been identified by PICTs.

50 ***1.3 Asbestos***

51 Construction materials such as cement-asbestos sheeting and roofing, which
52 contain asbestos fibers, have been widely used in Pacific island countries for
53 housing and other buildings, and even though health concerns have led to their
54 phase-out, they are still found in many buildings.

55 The Pacific is subject to periodic catastrophic weather and geological events
56 such as tsunamis and cyclones, which are highly destructive to built infrastructure
57 and can give rise to asbestos waste. Building maintenance and replacement, and
58 the gradual collapse and disintegration of disused buildings with asbestos mate-
59 rials, also create asbestos waste. As a consequence, asbestos containing materials
60 are, or may become, a significant waste and human health issue in many Pacific
61 countries and management and disposal of asbestos in the region is critical to the
62 maintenance of long-term community health.

63 A regional asbestos waste management strategy (Secretariat of the Pacific
64 Regional Environment Programme 2011) provides background information and
65 guidance on the health risks associated with asbestos exposure and on best prac-
66 tices in asbestos handling, and presents an integrated framework to progressively
67 assess, stabilize, collect and dispose of asbestos containing materials in the Pacific.

Table 2 Waste Management Roles and Responsibilities in PICTs

PICT	Coordinating agency ^a	Monitoring agency ^b	Agency for waste management services ^c
American Samoa	American Samoa Environmental Protection Agency	American Samoa Environmental Protection Agency	American Samoa Power Authority
Cook Islands	National Environment Service	National Environment Service and Ministry of Health	Ministry of Infrastructure and Planning
Fed. States of Micronesia	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	Environmental Protection Agency for each State	Department of Transport and Infrastructure in each State
Fiji	Department of Environment	Department of Environment	Municipalities
French Polynesia	Department of Environment	Department of Environment	Municipalities
Guam	Guam Environmental Protection Agency	Guam Environmental Protection Agency	Department of Public Works
Kiribati	Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development	Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agricultural Development	Municipalities
Marshall Islands	Office of Environmental Planning and Policy Coordination	RMI Environmental Protection Agency	Majuro Atoll Waste Company
Nauru	Department of Commerce Industry and Environment	Department of Commerce Industry and Environment	Nauru Rehabilitation Corporation
New Caledonia	Departments of Environment (Provincial)	Departments of Environment (Provincial)	Municipalities
Niue	Department of Environment	Department of Environment	Department of Environment
Northern Mariana Islands	Division of Environmental Quality	Division of Environmental Quality	Department of Public Works
Palau	Environmental Quality Protection Board	Environmental Quality Protection Board	Bureau of Public Works (Ministry of Public Infrastructure, Industries and Commerce), and State Governments
Papua New Guinea	Department of Environment and Conservation	Department of Environment and Conservation	National Capital District Commission (for Port Moresby only)
Samoa	Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment	Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment	Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment

(continued)

Table 2 (continued)

PICT	Coordinating agency ^a	Monitoring agency ^b	Agency for waste management services ^c
Solomon Islands	Environment and Conservation Division (Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Disaster Management)	Environment and Conservation Division (Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Disaster Management)	Environmental Health Department (Ministry of Health and Medical Services), Municipalities
Tokelau	Department of Economic Development, Natural Resources and Environment	Department of Economic Development, Natural Resources and Environment	Department of Economic Development, Natural Resources and Environment
Tonga	Ministry of Environment and Climate Change	Ministry of Environment and Climate Change	Tonga Waste Management Authority (Tongatapu only), Ministry of Health
Tuvalu	Ministry of Internal Affairs	Solid Waste Agency of Tuvalu	Kaupule (Island Council)
Vanuatu	Department of Environment	Department of Environment	Municipalities

Notes

^a Entity with primary responsibility for strategic planning and policy development

^b Lead entity that regulates environmental quality

^c Entity that is directly engaged in delivering waste management services (collection, disposal, etc.)

68 Particularly important is the focus on adoption of minimum occupational health
 69 and safety guidelines for workers and citizens involved in asbestos handling
 70 operations, either as a routine operation or as part of an emergency response
 71 scenario.

72 Adoption of national asbestos management policies by Pacific island countries
 73 will also ensure that the regional transport of waste asbestos is controlled through
 74 relevant protocols to ensure its safer transport and disposal.

75 **1.4 Electrical and Electronic Waste**

76 Due to the demand for newer technology, the life span of electrical and electronic
 77 products is progressively decreasing. Consequently, older and outdated items such
 78 as computers, printers, photocopy machines, television sets, washing machines,
 79 radios, and mobile phones are becoming obsolete and being discarded in large
 80 quantities and at increasing rates worldwide.

81 The extent of the electrical and electronic waste (E-waste) problem in the
 82 Pacific has not been comprehensively documented, however the limited

83 information available indicates that the use of electrical and electronic equipment
84 is increasing significantly on an annual basis in PICTs. E-waste contains hazardous
85 but also valuable and scarce materials such as metal and alloys, which can be
86 recovered and recycled. Proper management and disposal of E-waste is therefore
87 important to the long-term protection of local and regional Pacific environments.

88 A regional E-waste management strategy (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional
89 Environment Programme 2012) provides background information on the health
90 risks associated with E-wastes and provides guidance on best practice in E-waste
91 handling and disposal options through an integrated framework to progressively
92 collect, store and dispose of E-waste in the Pacific region. The development and
93 adoption of national E-waste policies will establish a framework for the Pacific
94 that improves management of E-waste and promotes and enforces responsible E-
95 waste management. This framework is expected to incorporate extended producer
96 responsibility, mandatory recycling fees charged at point of sale and/or import
97 taxes or tariffs to effectively finance eventual recycling of all imported electrical
98 and electronic goods.

99 *1.5 Health Care Wastes*

100 Health care waste is a by-product of modern health care. A majority of health care
101 waste is similar to domestic waste, although a small fraction is infectious and/or
102 hazardous and requires special treatment. This waste fraction includes sharps,
103 blood, body parts, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, medical devices and radioactive
104 materials.

105 Poor management of health care waste potentially exposes health care workers,
106 waste handlers and the community to potential infections, toxic effects and inju-
107 ries. The extent of the health care waste problem in the Pacific has not been
108 comprehensively documented, but the limited information available (United
109 Nations Environment Programme Division of Technology, Industry and Eco-
110 nomics 2012) indicates that quantities of the waste are increasing significantly on
111 an annual basis in Pacific island countries due to increasing population numbers
112 and improved health services.

113 Proper management and disposal of health care waste is important for the long-
114 term protection of local and regional Pacific environments and for the protection of
115 public health. A draft regional health care waste management strategy released in
116 2013 (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2012) provides
117 background information on the health risks associated with health care waste and
118 provides guidance on best practice in health care waste handling and disposal
119 options including an integrated framework to collect, store (where necessary) and
120 dispose of health care waste in the Pacific region. Development and adoption of
121 national health care waste policies will establish a framework for the Pacific that
122 improves management of health care waste and promotes and enforces responsible
123 health care waste management.



124 1.6 Regional Initiatives

125 Waste management in the Pacific region is undergoing a transformation. Begin-
126 ning in the early to mid 2000s, and with consistent support from the Japan
127 International Cooperation Agency (JICA), there was an emphasis on strategic
128 planning for municipal solid waste management with the adoption of the Pacific
129 Regional Solid Waste Management Strategy (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional
130 Environment Programme 2006) and a number of country solid waste management
131 strategies and action plans. This occurred concurrently with investments by JICA
132 and other development partners to deal with waste management across the Pacific
133 islands, including investments in medical waste management facilities, new
134 landfills, waste minimization activities (including the 3Rs—Reduce, Reuse,
135 Recycle; and household composting), and institutional reforms to improve the
136 efficacy of waste management services.

137 Within recent times, SPREP has partnered with JICA to implement a US\$10
138 million 5-year (2011–2016) project namely the Japanese Technical Cooperation
139 Project for Promotion of Regional Initiative on Solid Waste Management in
140 Pacific Island Countries (J-PRISM). This project aims to strengthen the human and
141 institutional capacity base in 11 Pacific island countries to manage solid waste in a
142 more effective manner. Specific national project outputs have been tailored to each
143 country's needs and priorities.

144 Complementing the J-PRISM project is the *Agence Française de Développe-*
145 *ment* (AFD) Regional Solid Waste Management Initiative for €1 million imple-
146 mented by SPREP—which has a component to deliver a technical, train-the-trainer
147 style waste management course for Pacific islanders. Together, these two projects
148 will increase the human technical capacity to deliver a cleaner Pacific region. A
149 particular focus is on developing capacity for waste minimization such as the 3Rs,
150 as well as waste collection, and waste disposal. The AFD Project will also develop
151 a program for waste oil management across the region.

152 Fundamental change in waste management practices is likely to be sustained if
153 such change occurs at the community level. For this reason, it is important to
154 engage at the community level to increase capacity and adjust behaviors and
155 attitudes. Each PICT conducts its own awareness and education programs to effect
156 such changes. At the regional level, SPREP simultaneously undertakes broad-
157 reaching regional campaigns to support and strengthen national efforts.

158 One such campaign was SPREP's Clean Pacific 2012 Campaign (Secretariat of
159 the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2012), the goal of which was to
160 provide opportunities to enhance awareness of, and support actions for good waste
161 management and pollution prevention policies and practices. Support for grass-
162 roots actions for waste management under this campaign has provided the region
163 with a range of new, community-based case studies to learn from, and provided
164 successful models to replicate in other communities. These included activities such
165 as composting, waste reduction, recycling, litter prevention, and better waste

166 disposal solutions which help to maintain a clean environment and help control
167 pollution.

168 Even with the progress being made through these regional initiatives and other
169 national programs, solid and hazardous waste management remains an ongoing
170 and escalating priority problem for the region.

171 **2 Waste Generation and Composition**

172 The specific municipal solid waste quantities and composition generated by
173 selected PICTs are shown in Table 3. In general terms, the waste stream in many
174 PICTs is dominated by organic (decomposable) waste accounting for 50 % or
175 more of the waste stream. This reflects the largely agricultural-based economy in
176 many PICTs. High dependence on imported goods, increasing economic devel-
177 opment, and increasing participation in global trade also contribute to shaping the
178 municipal waste stream, and in all likelihood contributes to increasing proportions
179 of packaging waste (including glass, paper, plastics, metals).

180 **3 Collection and Transportation**

181 Historically, the waste collection systems in many PICTs were characterized by
182 inconsistent and unreliable services—caused by the shortage of appropriate col-
183 lection equipment, poor management, a shortage of trained personnel and financial
184 resources, and limited availability of supporting infrastructure and equipment such
185 as transfer stations and public bins.

186 Waste collection programs typically cover the main urban areas, with limited
187 service in the rural areas and less populated outlying islands. The 2011 charac-
188 teristics of the waste collection system in selected PICTs are presented in Table 4.

189 With the focused intervention of aid agencies and development partners such as
190 the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), the European Union, the New
191 Zealand Aid Programme, and the Australian Agency for International Develop-
192 ment (AusAID), the waste collection and transportation systems in the PICTs are
193 steadily improving.

194 **4 Waste Minimization (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle)**

195 The constraints on waste management resources in the Pacific region demand that
196 waste minimization (reduction, reuse, and recycling) strategies be employed in
197 order to reduce residual wastes requiring final disposal and support more effective
198 and efficient utilization of available resources. However, there are currently only a

Table 3 Waste generation statistics in selected PICTs

Waste component	Municipal Solid Waste composition (%) in selected PICTs				
	Fiji (Nadi Town and Lautoka City combined) ^a	Niue (Alofi) (household waste only) ^b	Samoa (Apia) (household waste only) ^c	Solomon Islands (Honiara) ^d	Vanuatu (Port Vila) ^e
Glass and ceramics	4.1	3.1	2.2	0.7	10.0
Metals	1.9	13.2	8.8	8.5	8.7
Organic matter					
Kitchen waste	33.2	27.7	3.8	47.1	43.6
Grass, leaves	37.2		38.7	2.6	
Paper and cardboard	12.5	9.4	7.2	17.5	12.9
Plastics					
Films	5.8	8.0	6.5	16.8	11.7
Other	1.7	12.6	6.5		
Rubber and Leather	0.1	0	0	0.4	0
Textiles	1.2	0.4	6.8	2.1	0.8
Others	2.3	25.6 (Diapers: 16.3 %)	19.5 (Diapers: 15.1 %)	4.3	12.3 (medical waste: 6.3 %)
<i>Totals</i>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Unit waste generation rate (kg/person/day)	1.50	0.31	0.38	0.95	0.97
Average waste density (kg/liter)		0.083	0.160	0.366	0.257
Reported methodology	8-day direct sampling of 86 randomly selected households and commercial businesses during dry and wet seasons	7-day direct sampling of 15 randomly selected households	7-day direct sampling of 40 randomly selected households	7-day direct sampling of 21 randomly selected households and 7 commercial businesses	Three 3-week surveys of waste arriving at landfill

Source
^a 3R Promotion Manual, Fiji Department of Environment, November 2011

^b Draft Niue National Solid Waste management Plan, Niue Department of Environment, 2010

^c Solid Waste Characterization and Generation Study 2012—Vaitete, Division of Environment and Conservation, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 2012

^d Honiara Waste Characterization Audit Report 2011, Honiara City Council and the Environment and Conservation Division, 2011

^e Draft Solid Waste Management Plan for Port Vila Municipal Council, Japan International Cooperation Agency, 2008

Table 4 Waste collection service characteristics in selected PICTs, 2011

PICT	Access to regular solid waste collection service in urban areas (% of population)	Frequency of household collection service per week in urban areas (number)
Cook Islands	100	1
FSM	Chuuk State: 20 Kosrae State: 70 Pohnpei State: 60 Yap State: 50	1
Kiribati	35	1
Nauru	100	1
Niue	100	1–3
Palau	100	1
RMI	80–90	1
Samoa	100	1–2
Solomon Islands	60 (Honiara)	1
Tonga	73	1
Tuvalu	80	1–2
Vanuatu	>50 (Port Vila)	3

Source Pacific Infrastructure Performance Indicators 2011, Pacific Regional Infrastructure Facility, September 2011

199 small number of policies that directly support waste minimization in the Pacific
 200 region—all of which favor programs that encourage return of waste for recycling.
 201 Specifically, FSM, Fiji, Kiribati, and Palau have enacted beverage container
 202 deposit legislation, which offers a full or partial refund of a deposit imposed on
 203 plastic and aluminum beverage containers at the time of purchase.

204 Despite the success demonstrated by these programs, other PICTs seem to lack
 205 the political will to adopt similar programs. The challenge in the coming years will
 206 be to continue the dissemination of these and other waste minimization success
 207 stories and to encourage their adoption by PICT governments.

208 **4.1 Waste Reduction**

209 Waste reduction initiatives in the region often take the form of national and
 210 regional education and awareness programs encouraging responsible consumer
 211 behavior, such as a 2006 regional campaign promoting the reduction of plastic bag
 212 consumption and the use of reusable shopping bags (Secretariat of the Pacific
 213 Regional Environment Programme 2006). SPREP’s Clean Pacific 2012 Campaign
 214 (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2012) (discussed
 215 earlier) is another example of a regional campaign, which recently targeted
 216 grassroots actions towards waste minimization and better waste management.



217 For the majority of PICTs, organic waste often accounts for over 50 % of the
218 domestic waste stream (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Pro-
219 gramme 2010), which makes composting an attractive waste reduction solution.

220 4.2 Composting

221 Organic waste composting is generally encouraged at source (*i.e.* at the household
222 level) in order to reduce the management (collection, transportation, and disposal)
223 costs of organic waste, and to produce a beneficial soil additive to support sub-
224 sistence farming. Recovering the nutrient content of waste organic materials
225 through composting (rather than locking it away in landfills and dumpsites) is
226 particularly crucial to small atoll states such as Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Tokelau,
227 and Tuvalu.

228 The soils on these atolls are typically alkaline with low levels of certain
229 micronutrients essential for plant growth and health (iron, manganese, copper and
230 zinc). Furthermore, since soil fertility depends on the amount of accumulated
231 organic material (Morrison 1990), any organic material locked away permanently
232 in landfills and dumpsites is unavailable to contribute to improving the soil fertility.

233 The expected improvement in soil conditions and crop health from the appli-
234 cation of compost can potentially reduce reliance on imported food crops, and
235 (with appropriate promotion) contribute to healthier lifestyles. Furthermore, the
236 diversion of organic waste from dumps and landfills reduces leachate toxicity and
237 reduces leachate treatment costs.

238 For these reasons, organic waste composting is a major component of the J-
239 PRISM project (2011–2016), where pilot programs are being (or will be) imple-
240 mented in Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Palau, Samoa, Solomon Islands, and Vanu-
241 atu. Pilot composting projects will also be undertaken in the Cook Islands and
242 Niue commencing in 2013, under a 5-year project (2013–2018) funded by the
243 Global Environment Facility (GEF) and implemented by the United Nations
244 Environment Programme (UNEP), entitled *Pacific POPs Release Reduction*
245 *through Improved Management of Solid and Hazardous Wastes* (United Nations
246 Environment Programme 2012).

247 Several demonstration projects for composting have also been completed under
248 the Development of Sustainable Agriculture in the Pacific (DSAP) Project (Sec-
249 retariat of the Pacific Community 2009), which involves 16 PICTs, namely Cook
250 Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, French Polynesia, Kiribati, Nauru,
251 Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomon Islands,
252 Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, and Wallis and Futuna. Composting demonstration and
253 pilot programs have also been initiated by the Taiwan Missions in the Taiwan-
254 allied countries (Fiji, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Sol-
255 omon Islands, and Tuvalu) as part of their technical assistance program for hor-
256 ticultural crop development.

257 Some of the challenges going forward in organic waste composting include
 258 establishing steady demand for the compost, minimizing the duplication of past
 259 efforts, building on successful initiatives, and developing mechanisms to support
 260 accurate recording and reporting of waste diversion rates.

261 4.3 Waste Reuse

262 Reuse activities are driven by local entrepreneurs in each country and typically
 263 involve repairing goods (e.g., computers, television sets, radios, printer cartridges)
 264 to make them usable again, or modifying items to use for a different purpose (e.g.,
 265 using tires as decorative planters; empty containers for water storage; empty
 266 bottles cut to make drinking glasses, or crushed for aggregate). This informal reuse
 267 industry provides a vital service by reducing the waste that goes to landfills, but
 268 there is very little accurate information at present about the size of this reuse sector
 269 in the Pacific Region. A SPREP project (2012–2014) funded through the United
 270 Nations Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)

Table 5 Recycling activities in PICTs

Recycling activity	PICT	Markets for recyclables
Aluminum cans	CNMI, Cook Islands, Fiji, Guam, Kiribati, Niue, Palau, PNG, RMI, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tokelau, Tonga, Vanuatu	Australia, California-USA, New Zealand, Korea
Scrap metal (ferrous metal)	Cook Islands, Fiji, Niue, Palau, PNG, RMI, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Vanuatu	Australia, China, Hong Kong, Mauritius, India, Turkey, Korea, Indonesia
Paper/cardboard	Cook Islands, Fiji, Palau, Tonga	Australia, Local, New Zealand, Korea
Glass	CNMI, Cook Islands, Palau, Tonga	Local
Plastics (includes foam)	CNMI, Cook Islands, Fiji, RMI, Samoa, Tonga	Australia
Lead-acid batteries	CNMI, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Niue, Palau, PNG, RMI, Samoa, Tonga, Vanuatu	Australia, China, New Zealand
Used oil	CNMI, Cook Islands, Fiji, Palau, Tonga, Vanuatu	Fiji, Indonesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Philippines
Tires	CNMI, Fiji, PNG, Tonga	Indonesia, Malaysia, Korea, Vietnam
Electrical and electronic waste (E-waste)	Cook Islands, Kiribati, Tonga	New Zealand, Singapore
Organic waste (composting)	Cook Islands, Fiji, Palau, RMI, Samoa, Tokelau, Tonga, Tuvalu	Local

Source Pacific Regional Solid Waste Management Strategy 2010–2015, Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010

271 program is investigating options for, and feasibility of reusing the electrical and
272 electronic wastes in-country in the Cook Islands, Kiribati and Samoa.

273 **4.4 Waste Recycling**

274 Waste recycling in the Pacific islands context generally refers to the collection,
275 compaction and shipping of recyclable waste to a recycling facility that is usually
276 located off-island (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme
277 2010). Various waste recycling activities are being undertaken in PICTs (Table 5),
278 some of which are supported by policies such as container-deposit legislation in
279 the case of beverage containers. In other cases, the absence of sympathetic gov-
280 ernment policies means that private sector operators rely solely on the economic
281 value of the recyclable materials to support their operation; as such they are more
282 susceptible to fluctuations in the global price of recyclable materials compared to
283 those who operate with the support of government policies.

284 Two major technical obstacles to cost-effective waste recycling in PICTs are
285 the lack of national recycling and re-processing facilities, and the comparatively
286 small quantities of recyclable waste, which make it uneconomic to transport
287 materials elsewhere for recycling and reprocessing. Some recyclers have also had
288 their shipment of recyclable materials rejected at the port of import due to quar-
289 antine violations, which has hampered the development of the recycling sector in
290 those localities. These obstacles are compounded by the absence of a regionally
291 oriented or coordinated recycling mechanism.

292 The feasibility of establishing such a regional mechanism was investigated by
293 JICA through a 10-month study conducted in 2012. Specifically, the aim was to
294 assess the feasibility of establishing Reverse Logistics and Recycling Ports for five
295 Pacific island countries (Fiji, Samoa, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu) (The Overseas
296 Coastal Area Development Institute of Japan 2012).

297 Reverse Logistics refers to the transportation system for collection of used
298 products and materials and moving those products and materials to remanufac-
299 turing points for recycling and/or reuse purposes. Recycling Ports complements
300 the function of reverse logistics, and refers to a terminal for processing and storing
301 recyclable materials that require environmentally-sensitive treatment (The Over-
302 seas Coastal Area Development Institute of Japan 2012).

303 The JICA study focused on bulky wastes (vehicles, white goods, E-waste,
304 furniture, etc.) having the potential to be recycled (“recyclable waste goods”), as
305 well as on recycled waste materials—materials actually processed from the
306 recyclable waste goods (e.g. scrap metal, aluminum and steel cans, plastic bottles,
307 paper, and cardboard).

308 The preliminary report of the JICA study highlighted a number of barriers
309 related to recycling of bulky wastes and reverse logistics, and proposed several
310 improvement measures including expansion of the collection coverage of recy-
311 clable waste goods, improved working standards and conditions at the recycling

312 companies, enhancing the domestic demand for recycled waste materials, adoption
 313 of supportive government policies, formation of a water transportation network for
 314 recyclable goods, mechanisms for alleviating high freight costs, and improvement
 315 in information provision to ensure compliance at import ports.

316 5 Treatment and Disposal

317 The overwhelming proportion of municipal solid waste in PICTs is disposed of on
 318 land by way of dumps and landfills, with a small component composted and
 319 recycled. However, this method of disposal compounds one of the greatest chal-
 320 lenges for many PICTs, which is the availability of suitable land for waste
 321 disposal.

322 Coral atolls such as Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Tokelau, and Tuvalu have very
 323 little land space with many competing uses (housing, public infrastructure,

Table 6 Modes of municipal solid waste disposal in PICTs

PICT	Mode of municipal solid waste disposal (Donors involved in original construction and/or rehabilitation are in parentheses)
American Samoa	Anaerobic landfill on Tutuila Island
Cook Islands	Anaerobic landfill on Rarotonga and Aitutaki (Asian Development Bank)
FSM	Semi-aerobic landfill on Kosrae (JICA); Controlled dumpsites on Pohnpei and Yap ^a ; Open dumpsite on Chuuk
Fiji	Anaerobic Landfill in Suva (European Union); Controlled dumpsite in Lautoka (JICA)
Guam	Anaerobic landfill with gas management facilities
Kiribati	Controlled dumpsites on South Tarawa (New Zealand Aid Programme)
Nauru	Open dumpsite
Niue	Open dumpsite
Northern Mariana Islands	Anaerobic landfill with gas collection on Saipan
Marshall Islands	Controlled dumpsite on Majuro ^a (JICA); Open dumpsite on Ebeye
Palau	Semi-aerobic landfill in Koror State ^a (JICA)
Papua New Guinea	Open dumpsites in Port Moresby ^a and Kavieng
Samoa	Semi-aerobic landfill on Upolu (JICA); Controlled dumpsite on Savaii
Solomon Islands	Open dumpsites in Honiara ^a (JICA)
Tokelau	Open dumpsites on Fale, Atafu, and Nukunonu Islands
Tonga	Anaerobic landfill on Tongatapu (AusAID, Asian Development Bank), Controlled dumpsite in Vava'u ^a (JICA)
Tuvalu	Open dumpsite on Funafuti (European Union)
Vanuatu	Semi-aerobic landfill in Port Vila ^a (JICA)

Notes

^a These dumpsites are being improved under the JICA/SPREP Japanese Technical Cooperation Project for the Promotion of Regional Initiative in Solid Waste Management in Pacific Islands Countries (J-PRISM)

324 farming), and their permeable coral soils contribute to the transfer of pollutants
325 from dumpsites and other above-ground sources of pollution to their underlying
326 freshwater lens.

327 The availability of suitable land is also an issue throughout the Pacific region
328 because the vast majority of land is held under customary tenure (Wilson 2013),
329 which places ownership with communities or family groups. In most countries,
330 customary tenure accounts for more than 80 % of the total land area (Making Land
331 Work 2008). Where a landfill is to be sited on communal or family land, negoti-
332 ating a land lease can be a lengthy and complex process, in terms of obtaining
333 consent, and agreement on appropriate compensation, particularly where negative
334 perceptions over past operations of waste disposal sites exist, and because cus-
335 tomary land has significant cultural, spiritual, environmental, and economic value
336 (Making Land Work 2008).

337 Despite the challenges, several PICTs, assisted by donors, have upgraded urban
338 dumpsites or have closed polluting dumpsites and constructed new facilities. The
339 various modes of waste disposal in the PICTs are shown in Table 6. Improving
340 waste disposal facilities and practices is also the focus of the J-PRISM project in
341 the Federated States of Micronesia, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands,
342 Tonga and Vanuatu.

343 The current approach taken by most PICTs, supported by the J-PRISM project
344 and SPREP is to implement the Semi-aerobic Landfill Method (also known as the
345 Fukuoka Method). When managed properly, the Semi-aerobic Landfill is a cost-
346 effective and speedy method of stabilizing waste with high organic (biodegrad-
347 able) content (Chong et al. 2005).

348 The Semi-aerobic Landfill Method is a sanitary landfill method in which
349 leachate and landfill gas are continuously removed from the waste mass through a
350 system of leachate collection and gas venting pipes. With proper design and
351 placement of the pipes, the decomposing waste generates heat, which creates
352 convection currents that draw the ambient air through the network of ventilation
353 pipes located throughout the waste mass. The resulting semi-aerobic condition in
354 the waste mass improves the stabilization process and the leachate quality due to
355 the increased aerobic microbial activity, and releases carbon dioxide compared to
356 the methane released under anaerobic conditions. This is critically important as
357 methane is 21 times more potent as a greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide (over a
358 100-year period) (Global Warming Potentials 2013).

359 In many cases, a leachate recirculation system is also installed, whereby the
360 leachate is collected in a pond and re-circulated into the waste layers. The waste
361 mass serves as a biological filter, improving the quality of the leachate after each
362 cycle. Leachate is further treated in the leachate collection pond through mechanical
363 aeration to increase microbial activity, and also by passage through a compact
364 wetland before ultimately being discharged into the environment (Kouji 2007).

Table 7 Solid waste management legislation in PICTs

PICT	Legislation
American Samoa	Environment Quality Act
Cook Islands	Environment Act (2004) (Rarotonga); Public Health Act 2005; Sewerage Regulations 2008
FSM (Chuuk)	CSL Public Law 02-94-01; Littering Law CSL- 191-33; Recycling Law
FSM (Kosrae)	Kosrae State Constitution, Article 2; Kosrae State Code, Title 13, Section 13.506; Kosrae State Code, Title 13, Section 530; Kosrae State Code, Title 7, Chapter 22
FSM (Pohnpei)	Constitution of Pohnpei, Article 7, Section 1; State Law 3L-26-92, Pohnpei Environmental Protection Act; Solid Waste Regulations 3/30/95; Pohnpei State Law No 6L-66-06
FSM (Yap)	YSL #4-4 Yap State Public Service Corporation; Recycling Program Law (2008); Recycling Program Regulations (Dec 2008); Recycling Finance Law (2009)
Fiji	Waste and Pollution Regulations 2008; Litter Promulgation 2008; EIA Regulations 2007; Environmental Management Act 2005; Public Health Act; Fijian Affairs Act; Municipal Council Byelaws
Guam	Solid Waste Management and Litter Control Act; Guam Environment Protection Agency Act; Guam Environmental Pollution Control Act
Kiribati	Special Fund (Waste Material Recovery Act 2004; Environment Act 1999
Marshall Islands	Conservation Areas Act 1978; National Environmental Protection Act 1984; Public Health Act; Majuro Local Government Ordinance; Littering Act 1982
New Caledonia	New Caledonia Act 1999
Northern Mariana Islands	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; Litter Control Act 1989; Safe Drinking Water Act; Solid Waste Management Act
Niue	Environment Act 2003; Public Health 1982;
Palau	Public Law 1-58; Palau National Code 34, subsection 1004; Recycling Law RPPL 7-94; Environmental Quality Protection Act; Solid Waste Management Regulations
Papua New Guinea	Marine Pollution Bill (draft); Environment Act 2000 and regulations; Organic Law on Provincial and Local Level Government; Public Health Act; National Capital District Commission Act
Samoa	Waste Management Act 2010; Land, Surveys and Environment Act 1989
Solomon Islands	Environment Regulation 2008; Environment Act 1998; Shipping Act 1998; Agriculture Quarantine Order 1995; Ports Act 1990; Environmental Health Act 1980
Tokelau	Marine Pollution Regulations 1990; Marine Pollution (Dumping and Incineration) Regulations 1982; Marine Pollution Act 1974;
Tonga	Waste Management Act 2005 (Tongatapu); Public Health Act 2008
Tuvalu	Waste Operation and Services Act 2009; Environment Protection Act 2007; Marine Pollution Act 1991; Public Health Act and Regulation 1926
Vanuatu	Waste Operations and Services Bill; Environment Management and Conservation Act Cap. 283 (2002); Bio-security Bill (draft);

Source Pacific Regional Solid Waste Management Strategy 2010-2015, Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Apia, Samoa, 2010

365

6 Legal Framework

366 Legislation in selected PICTs containing provisions relevant to municipal solid
367 waste management is summarized in Table 7. Some countries have enacted specific
368 laws addressing municipal solid waste management, while in others, broad
369 Environment Acts have been adopted. However, there are still a few PICTs that
370 rely on Public Health Acts for waste regulation, which usually contain inadequate
371 provisions to deal with the complex nature of today's municipal solid waste stream
372 (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010).

373 In cases where legislation has been enacted, non-compliance is often reported
374 and attributed to low levels of public awareness. There is also limited human and
375 financial capacity within many PICTs to enforce the legislation. This can be
376 compounded by an uncoordinated approach where regulation is spread among a
377 number of agencies without clearly defined roles and responsibilities, lack of
378 consolidated legislation, and social pressure exerted in small communities, where
379 enforcers may be associated with, or related to offenders.

380

7 Impacts of MSW on Greenhouse Gas Emissions

381 The Pacific islands region as a whole is estimated to account for 0.03 % of the
382 global emissions of carbon dioxide from fuel combustion despite having
383 approximately 0.12 % of the world's population (Hay and Sem 1999). The specific
384 contribution from the waste management sector has not been assessed, but it is not
385 unreasonable to assume that this would constitute a minute fraction of the region's
386 total emissions.

387 Low greenhouse gas emissions notwithstanding, the Pacific islands are committed
388 to demonstrating leadership in reducing greenhouse gas emissions through
389 a number of measures including engaging in the Clean Development Mechanism
390 and other carbon-market mechanisms (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment
391 Programme 2011).

392 The Semi-aerobic Landfill contributes to reductions in greenhouse gas emissions
393 from the waste management sector since the degradation of waste under
394 semi-aerobic conditions favors the production of carbon dioxide over the more
395 potent methane gas. This landfill method (also categorized as passive aeration) is
396 accredited as a new emission-reduction method under the Clean Development
397 Mechanism of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
398 (UNFCCC) (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN-
399 FCCC) 2013), and presents a new generation of opportunities for Pacific islands to
400 improve the safe management of waste while simultaneously demonstrating
401 leadership by reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Table 8 Potential climate change impacts on waste disposal sites

Change in climate	Impacts
Increased temperatures and extreme heat events	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accelerated decomposition of organic waste• Higher rate of evaporation—more concentrated leachate• Increased problems with odor and vectors• Increased risk of landfill fires
Increased wet season rainfall	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increased leachate generation• Flood risks and increased contamination of surrounding environment from leachate• Increased likelihood of anaerobic waste decomposition and increased landfill gas (methane, carbon dioxide) generation
Decreased dry season rainfall	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increased dust issues• Increased risk of landfill fires

402

8 Impacts of Climate Change on MSW Management

403

The adverse and long-term effects of climate change present significant risks to the sustainable development of PICTs and threaten the very existence of some (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2011). Climate change impacts such as increased sea level rise, increased rainfall, and increased cyclone intensity can damage waste management infrastructure leading to pollution, which increases the man-made stresses on natural systems such as coral reefs and mangroves and undermines the adaptive capacity and resilience of these natural systems. Furthermore, adverse weather events typically generate disaster waste, which must be safely managed to minimize further adverse environmental and public health impacts.

413

Potential climate change impacts on waste disposal sites in the Pacific may include those listed in Table 8. Impacts such as increased leachate generation, dust issues, and inundation from floods and storm surges, will exacerbate existing poor operating conditions. Building adaptive capacity within the waste management sector to cope with climate change impacts is therefore an important facet of responding to climate change.

419

To this end, SPREP with the assistance of the AusAID International Climate Change Adaptation Initiative (ICCAI) is implementing a project in Fiji to integrate climate change adaptation planning into the waste management sector (AdaptWaste Project). The target site is a dumpsite in the town of Labasa on Vanua Levu, the 2nd largest island in Fiji. The dumpsite is an ideal demonstration site for adaptation in the waste management sector since the Labasa area faces the direction from which most cyclones arise, and is susceptible to river flooding, and storm surge inundation.

427

The anticipated outcomes of the AdaptWaste Project include strengthened capacity within the local council and national government for adaptation planning in the waste management sector, rehabilitated waste disposal site with waste

428

429



430 diversion programs to better cope with climate change impacts, national guidelines
431 for climate-related disaster waste management, and enhanced public awareness.

432 **8.1 Waste-to-Energy**

433 There is also a growing interest amongst Pacific island communities in exploring
434 waste-to-energy options to potentially reduce dependence on the importation of
435 diesel generator fuel. This interest is being driven primarily by international
436 companies promoting proprietary waste-to-energy technology. A project under
437 development by the Asian Development Bank, also seeks to potentially implement
438 waste-to-energy schemes for the Cook Islands, Palau, Marshall Islands, and
439 Vanuatu.

440 With the many challenges facing the PICTs (outlined earlier), and an agreed
441 regional goal of adopting cost-effective and self-sustaining solid waste manage-
442 ment systems (Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme 2010),
443 the Pacific region must take a cautious approach to the adoption of high-tech
444 solutions, particularly those relying heavily on foreign expertise and supplies. All
445 proposals (including those put forward by the Asian Development Bank and other
446 development partners) should be fully investigated from a technical and financial
447 perspective and within the context of possible contradiction with existing waste
448 reduction philosophies, strategies, and programs currently supported in the Region.

449 **9 Local Case Studies**

450 **9.1 Waste Minimization and Recycling Promotion** 451 **in Fiji (Singh 2012)**

452 Fiji with a population of 850,000 largely depends on the importation of goods and
453 materials from the developed countries. Due to its geographical isolation and
454 relatively small recycling market, it is very difficult to recycle waste within Fiji. In
455 addition, finding a suitable landfill site is quite difficult considering local land
456 issues and customary rights.

457 The Government of Fiji therefore recognized the need to strengthen the
458 capacity of two municipalities—Lautoka City (population of 45,000) and Nadi
459 Town (population of 12,700)—and the Department of Environment (DOE) to
460 promote waste minimization, and embarked on a 42 month technical cooperation
461 project (2008–2012) with JICA entitled “Waste Minimization and Recycling
462 Promotion Project in the Republic of Fiji Islands” (3R Project).

463 The project scope included: (i) conducting baseline surveys to assess the existing
464 situation and issues relating to solid waste management; (ii) developing Solid

Table 9 Baseline waste management data of Lautoka City and Nadi Town, Fiji

Parameter	Lautoka city	Nadi town
MSW Generation (ton/day)	48.1	22.4
Household waste generation rate (g/person/day)	432	374
Recycling rate (%)	8.1	2.8
MSW generation rate per person (g/person/day)	1,098	1,902
Budget for solid waste management (Fiji Dollars)	1.06 million (20 % of council's total budget)	1.15 million (28 % of council's total budget)
Highest composition of waste (%)	Grass and wood: 37.4 Kitchen organic waste: 30.1	Grass and wood: 36.7 Kitchen organic waste: 36.4

465 Waste Management Plans for the two municipalities based on baseline data; (iii)
466 implementing pilot projects to examine the applicability, sustainability and
467 expandability of waste minimization practices such as home-composting, market
468 waste composting, Clean Schools program, separate collection for recyclables, and
469 green waste collection and chipping; (iv) improving the operation and management
470 of the Vunato Disposal Site in Lautoka; (v) developing a wide range of educational
471 tools, which were utilized for extensive awareness raising to citizens through house
472 to house visits, and community meetings; and (vi) expanding viable pilot projects to
473 other areas based on the validity and lessons learnt from the pilot projects.

474 The key data obtained through the baseline surveys are summarized in Table 9.
475 These results subsequently informed the design of several pilot projects aimed at
476 promoting the 3Rs, including separate collection of recyclables, promotion of
477 home composting, development of market waste composting, green waste collection
478 and recycling, and a Clean Schools program.

479 As a result of the 3R Project, the total recycling rate was increased from 8.1 %
480 to 10.3 % in Lautoka City and from 2.8 % to 18.3 % in Nadi Town as of October
481 2011. Concomitantly, the waste disposal volumes from 2008 to 2011 have
482 decreased by 7.8 % in Lautoka City and 38.6 % in Nadi Town.

483 There were many lessons learned during this project including:

- 484 • The importance of learning from others; project staff were able to learn firsthand
485 from the successful experience of Shibushi City in Japan, which contributed to
486 the encouragement and commitment of counterparts to the project
487 implementation.
- 488 • The mechanism of joint weekly meetings, which contributed significantly to
489 monitoring and stimulating the progress of the project activities, and also to
490 promoting mutual understandings and friendly working relationships between
491 the two municipalities involved.
- 492 • The significant role that all stakeholders can play, in particular the community
493 members in the pilot project communities. The Matavolivolvi 3R Pilot Project
494 Committee members in Nadi gained a wealth of experience in practicing the 3Rs

495 and were effectively utilized as 3R promoters by the Nadi Town Council during
496 the expansion of 3R activities to other communities.
497

498 One of the major challenges of the project has been the difficulty in bringing
499 about behavioral change amongst citizens since the 3R concept is a new one and
500 requires voluntarily participation of the citizens to embrace 3R's. Hence, it is
501 expected that the planned enactment of 3R legislation would compel the citizens to
502 engage and practice 3Rs.

503 In conclusion, the 3R Project is a success story for the Pacific region, wherein
504 vital equipment has been procured and various educational tools, guides, plans and
505 manuals have been developed to assist in promoting and sustaining 3R practices.
506 The technical capacities of the staff from the municipalities (Lautoka City and
507 Nadi Town) have also been greatly developed to support the expansion of 3R
508 practices throughout Fiji and the Pacific region into the future.

509 **10 Towards sustainable waste management financing** 510 **in French Polynesia (Ebelewicz 2012)**

511 French Polynesia is an Overseas Territory of France with a substantial degree of
512 autonomy. It consists of five main island groups scattered across five million
513 square kilometres and is located midway between Australia and South America
514 (Central Intelligence Agency 2013).

515 French Polynesia has a resident population of 250,000, and an estimated
516 200,000 tourist arrivals annually. Increasing goods imports driven by an increasing
517 population have resulted in increasing quantities of garbage generation. Despite
518 the implementation of waste management programs and waste treatment efforts,
519 the problem remains significant in urban areas and in areas of high human visi-
520 tation (Gabri  et al. 2007).

521 In relative terms, the management of solid waste is considered to be signifi-
522 cantly more technologically advanced in the main island of French Polynesia
523 (Tahiti) than in other Pacific island countries. The current waste management
524 system is operated under contract by a semi-public company, *Soci t  Environn-
525 ement Polyn sien*, and includes:

- 526 • a two-bin system for residential waste collection consisting of a grey bin for
527 general waste and a green bin for recyclables, which are further sorted at a
528 Materials Recycling Facility;
- 529 • a recycling and transfer center in Motu Uta for direct re-loading of municipal
530 solid waste, and sorting and bailing of recyclable materials (developed at a cost
531 of US\$ 5 million (excluding land acquisition costs));
- 532 • waste transfer facilities at Punaauia Municipality and Moorea island;

- 533 • a fully lined engineered landfill site located in Paihoro, with leachate collection
534 and treatment (aeration and filtration) facilities, developed at a cost of US\$6.7
535 Million (land acquisition costs excluded); and
536 • a landfill compaction vehicle which achieves a high waste compaction density
537 of 1,000 kg/m³.

538 These systems, while being technically and environmentally sound, required
539 financial subsidies by the Government of France for construction and involve high
540 ongoing operating costs, which are currently subsidized.
541

542 The cost for solid waste management was previously covered by a 50 %
543 contribution from the French Polynesia Government (financed through a 2 %
544 environmental tax on all imported goods), a 25 % contribution from 12 of the 13
545 Municipalities involved, and a 25 % contribution from an inter-municipality
546 equalization fund that included a contribution from the Government of France.
547 These funds were used to engage the managing company, *Société Environnement*
548 *Polynésien*, to establish and operate the environmentally friendly waste treatment
549 processes.

550 However, the inter-municipality equalization fund was discontinued in 2009,
551 and the contribution from the French Polynesia Government will be phased out
552 between 2012 and 2017. Consequently, the total cost (100 %) of waste manage-
553 ment (collection, treatment (recycling), and landfilling) will become the respon-
554 sibility of each of the 12 municipalities in 2017, to be ultimately financed from
555 user-pay (household) charges.

556 A new partnership of Municipalities (*Syndicat Mixte*) is to be established to
557 replace the role of the *Société Environnement Polynésien*. Ideally, the proposed
558 partnership should include all Municipalities, however, at the time of writing,
559 Faa'a Municipality remains independent and provides its own collection service
560 (unsorted waste) and operates its own municipal landfill. The partnership will be
561 autonomous in managing the services, and will be able adjust rates to achieve full
562 cost recovery. Municipalities will have to systematically increase the household
563 waste charge over a five-year period (2012–2017) to the level required to achieve
564 full cost recovery, otherwise the current solid waste management system operating
565 in Tahiti is unlikely to be sustainable with the present level of household charges.

566 In 2012, the *Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie*
567 (*ADEME*—a French Agency responsible for Energy and Environment) was in the
568 process of reviewing the current system of solid waste collection and recycling in
569 French Polynesia, and assessing the cost/benefit of recycling to the island's pop-
570 ulation, because the unit cost was thought to be potentially disproportionate to the
571 benefits. The outcomes of this review will not only guide improvements in the
572 waste management financing situation in French Polynesia, but will also be an
573 instructive case study for the Pacific region on achieving financial sustainability in
574 municipal solid waste management.

575 While the waste management systems established by the managing agency
576 in Tahiti are technically and environmentally sound (if not yet financially self-
577 sustaining), many of the smaller, sparsely populated French Polynesian islands



578 face similar waste management problems as other Pacific island countries due to
579 the lack of space and the contamination risk to freshwater lenses located at shallow
580 depths (Gabrié et al. 2007).

581 On these islands, efforts are also being made to establish systems for the col-
582 lection of bulky and hazardous wastes such as motor vehicles, used oil (lubricants),
583 lead acid batteries, tires, cars and dry cell batteries, with future plans to collect
584 other recyclables such as plastics, cans and paper.

585 11 Summary

586 The Pacific islands face many solid waste management challenges as a conse-
587 quence of their physical and geographic characteristics, economic development
588 and specific cultural practices. Climate change, legacy hazardous waste issues, and
589 emerging priorities in hazardous waste management add to those challenges.
590 However, with the assistance of donors and development partners through various
591 regional and bilateral initiatives, progress is steadily being made to improve solid
592 and hazardous waste management policies, systems and practices throughout the
593 region.

594 The challenge for the future lies in sourcing seed-financing to enable the
595 adoption of self-sustaining and cost-effective systems that will contribute to pre-
596 serving and restoring the integrity of the Pacific environment for future
597 generations.

598 12 Defining Terms

599 Clean Development Mechanism: A provision under the Kyoto Protocol of the
600 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate change under which emission-
601 reduction projects in developing countries can earn certified emission reduction
602 credits. These saleable credits can be used by industrialized countries to meet a
603 part of their emission reduction targets under the Kyoto Protocol, while the re-
604 venue from the sales can be used by the developing countries to implement emis-
605 sion-reduction projects.

606 Customary tenure: A system of land ownership, where land rights are managed
607 by indigenous communities or family groups according to their unique processes,
608 which are linked to underlying social and spiritual belief systems.

609 Semi-aerobic Landfill (or Fukuoka Method): An engineered, sanitary landfill
610 that contains a network of leachate collection pipes and gas venting pipes, which
611 facilitate the passive aeration of the waste layers by natural convection induced by
612 the heat of the decomposing waste.

References

- 614 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2010) Pacific regional solid waste
615 management strategy 2010–2015, SPREP, Apia, Samoa (ISBN 978-982-04-0395-6)
- 616 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2011) Pacific Regional
617 Environment Programme Strategic Plan 2011-2015, SPREP, Apia, Samoa. (ISBN 978-982-
618 04-0400-7)
- 619 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2011) An asbestos-free pacific: a
620 regional strategy and action plan 2011. [http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Management_of_](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Management_of_Asbestos_in_the_Pacific_Region-final.pdf)
621 [Asbestos_in_the_Pacific_Region-final.pdf](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Management_of_Asbestos_in_the_Pacific_Region-final.pdf) [retrieved 23 January 2013]
- 622 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2012) Pacific E-waste: A regional
623 strategy and action plan 2012, SPREP, Apia (ISBN 978-982-04-0448-9)
- 624 United Nations Environment Programme Division of Technology, Industry and Economics
625 (2012) Policy brief on healthcare waste: what, why and how [http://www.unep.org/ietc/Portals/](http://www.unep.org/ietc/Portals/136/Other%20documents/PolicyBriefs/Healthcare%20Waste%20Policy%20brief.pdf)
626 [136/Other%20documents/PolicyBriefs/Healthcare%20Waste%20Policy%20brief.pdf](http://www.unep.org/ietc/Portals/136/Other%20documents/PolicyBriefs/Healthcare%20Waste%20Policy%20brief.pdf)
627 [retrieved 20 February 2013]
- 628 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2012) Draft pacific health care
629 waste: a regional strategy and action plan 2013–2015. [http://www.sprep.org/attachments/](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Pacific_Medical_Waste_Strategy_2013(11)_with_wmark_(2).pdf)
630 [Pacific_Medical_Waste_Strategy_2013\(11\)_with_wmark_\(2\).pdf](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Pacific_Medical_Waste_Strategy_2013(11)_with_wmark_(2).pdf) [retrieved 23 January 2013]
- 631 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2006) Solid waste management
632 strategy for the pacific region. SPREP, Apia (ISBN 978-982-04-0319-2)
- 633 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2012) Draft clean pacific campaign
634 plan [http://www.sprep.org/2011SM22/pdfs/eng/Officials/WP_11_2_Att_2_Clean%20Pacific](http://www.sprep.org/2011SM22/pdfs/eng/Officials/WP_11_2_Att_2_Clean%20Pacific%20Campaign.pdf)
635 [%20Campaign.pdf](http://www.sprep.org/2011SM22/pdfs/eng/Officials/WP_11_2_Att_2_Clean%20Pacific%20Campaign.pdf) [retrieved 23 January 2013]
- 636 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2006) SPREP and ANZ launch
637 turtle bag in Samoa [http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Publications/Newsletters/Turtle-Talk-](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Publications/Newsletters/Turtle-Talk-Sept2006.pdf)
638 [Sept2006.pdf](http://www.sprep.org/attachments/Publications/Newsletters/Turtle-Talk-Sept2006.pdf) [retrieved 23 January 2013]
- 639 Morrison RJ (1990) Pacific atoll soils: chemistry, mineralogy and classification. Atoll Research
640 Bulletin No. 229, National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Institution, Washington
- 641 United Nations Environment Programme (2012) PAS Pacific POPs release reduction through
642 improved management of solid and hazardous wastes [http://www.thegef.org/gef/content/pas-](http://www.thegef.org/gef/content/pas-pacific-pops-release-reduction-through-improved-management-solid-and-hazardous-wastes)
643 [pacific-pops-release-reduction-through-improved-management-solid-and-hazardous-wastes](http://www.thegef.org/gef/content/pas-pacific-pops-release-reduction-through-improved-management-solid-and-hazardous-wastes)
644 [retrieved 20 January 2013]
- 645 Secretariat of the Pacific Community (2009) Development of sustainable agriculture in the
646 pacific. <http://www.spc.int/dsap> [retrieved 30 October 2009]
- 647 The Overseas Coastal Area Development Institute of Japan, (2012) Data Collection Survey on
648 Reverse Logistics in the Pacific Islands- Interim Report prepared for Japan International
649 Cooperation Agency, July 2012
- 650 Wilson C (2013) Land and conflict in the pacific region. Consultant report commissioned by the
651 pacific islands forum secretariat [http://www.forumsec.org.fj/resources/uploads/attachments/](http://www.forumsec.org.fj/resources/uploads/attachments/documents/LMCM%201_1%20COMPLETE.pdf)
652 [documents/LMCM%201_1%20COMPLETE.pdf](http://www.forumsec.org.fj/resources/uploads/attachments/documents/LMCM%201_1%20COMPLETE.pdf) [retrieved 20 January 2013]
- 653 Making Land Work (2008) Volume 1, reconciling customary land and development in the pacific.
654 Australian Agency for International Development, Canberra, Australia. (ISBN 978-1-921285-
655 22-6)
- 656 Chong TL, Matsufuji Y, Hassan MN (2005) Implementation of the semi-aerobic landfill system
657 (Fukuoka method) in developing countries: a malaysia cost Analysis. *Waste Manage* (Oxford)
658 25(7):211–702
- 659 Global Warming Potentials (2013) United nations framework convention on climate change
660 http://unfccc.int/ghg_data/items/3825.php, [retrieved 30 January 2013]
- 661 Kouji M (ed.) (2007) Caution for Application of ‘Fukuoka Method’ (Semi-Aerobic Landfill
662 Technology). Japan International Cooperation Agency Training Text, Kyushu International
663 Center <http://www.sprep.org/att/IRC/eCOPIES/global/159.pdf> [retrieved 20 January 2013]

- 664 Hay JE, Sem G (1999) Evaluation and regional synthesis of national greenhouse gas inventories,
665 volume 1: general assessment and regional synthesis. South Pacific Regional Environment
666 Programme, Apia
- 667 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (2011c) Pacific Islands framework
668 for action on climate change 2006–2015, 2nd edn. SPREP, Apia
- 669 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (2013) AM0093:
670 Avoidance of landfill gas emissions by passive aeration of landfills. [http://cdm.unfccc.int/
671 methodologies/DB/2GD08SZKUBS916SP75HRQT3DZ90H5D](http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/2GD08SZKUBS916SP75HRQT3DZ90H5D) [retrieved 19 January 2013]
- 672 Singh SP (2012) Sharing the Experience of 3R Project in Fiji. In: 11th expert meeting on solid
673 waste management in Asia and Pacific Islands, Tokyo
- 674 Ebelewicz S (2012) Travel Report for Visit to French Polynesia and New Caledonia. Secretariat
675 of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Apia
- 676 Central Intelligence Agency (2013) The world Factbook—French polynesia. [https://
677 www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/fp.html](https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/fp.html) [retrieved 24 January
678 2013]
- 679 Gabrié C, You H, Farget P (2007) L'état de l'environnement en Polynésie Française en 2006.
680 Ministère de l'Environnement, pp 215

Author Query Form

Book ID : **305915_1_En**
Chapter No.: **13**



Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your chapter, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query Refs.	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	No Query	

UNCORRECTED PROOF

MARKED PROOF

Please correct and return this set

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly in dark ink and are made well within the page margins.

<i>Instruction to printer</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	Ⓟ
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	∧	New matter followed by ∧ or ∧ [Ⓢ]
Delete	/ through single character, rule or underline or ┌───┐ through all characters to be deleted	Ⓞ or Ⓞ [Ⓢ]
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	/ through letter or ┌───┐ through characters	new character / or new characters /
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	↵
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to small capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	~
Change to bold italic	≈ under matter to be changed	≈
Change to lower case	Encircle matter to be changed	≡
Change italic to upright type	(As above)	⊕
Change bold to non-bold type	(As above)	⊖
Insert 'superior' character	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ or Υ under character e.g. Υ or Υ
Insert 'inferior' character	(As above)	∧ over character e.g. ∧
Insert full stop	(As above)	⊙
Insert comma	(As above)	,
Insert single quotation marks	(As above)	Ƴ or ƴ and/or ƶ or Ʒ
Insert double quotation marks	(As above)	ƶ or Ʒ and/or Ʒ or ƶ
Insert hyphen	(As above)	⊞
Start new paragraph	┌	┌
No new paragraph	┐	┐
Transpose	└┘	└┘
Close up	linking ○ characters	Ⓞ
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	/ through character or ∧ where required	Υ
Reduce space between characters or words		↑